

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ı	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,611	06/23/2003		Sigmund Kulessa	022719-0042	8723	
21125	7590	06/15/2006		EXAMINER		
		NNEN & FISH LLP	MENDEZ, MANUEL A			
WORLD TI		NTER WEST LEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON,	MA 022	10-2604		3763		
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/601,611	KULESSA, SIGMUND				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Manuel Mendez	3763				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	•			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 rs IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  cply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>04 April 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice u	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the appli	ication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc		1				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	·	·				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> </ol>	•	received in this National Stage				
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action fo		received				
`	see the attached detailed office action to	in a not of the continea copies not i	escived.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date		)/Mail Date : formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/601,611

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tate in view of Huss et al., Buyers, Hooven et al., or Yarger, and in further view of Santini, Jr. et al., (5797898), Santini, Jr. et al., (6123861), or Santini, Jr. et al. (6551838).

The Tate patent does not disclose filter material disposed around an inner diameter of the catheter and extending between the proximal and distal ends of the catheter. However, the designing catheters having filters extending within the inner lumen of a catheter or at the proximal end of the catheter is conventional in the art as evidenced by the teachings of **Huss et al., and Buyers.** 

Huss et al., shows in figures 7 a catheter having filters along the longitudinal axis of the catheter. Additionally, Buyers show a catheter design having a filter at the proximal end of the catheter.

Based on the above observations, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, modifying the catheter disclosed by Tate with filters located alongside the inner lumen or in the proximal end of the catheter would have been considered obvious in view of the conventionality of these enhancements.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,611

Art Unit: 3763

The examiner included Hooven et al., and Yarger to demonstrate the conventionality of designing catheters with rows of ports in the distal end. Again, these particular port arrangements would have been considered obvious in view of the conventionality of these port enhancements.

Page 3

Finally, the Santini, Jr. et al. patents demonstrate the conventionality of using a fluid impermeable barriers disposed in and occluding selected fluid entry ports, the barriers being selectively removable with respect to each of the selected fluid entry ports. Based on the above observations, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, modifying the barrier system used in Tate with a removable membrane that removes the membrane after the application of electric current, as taught by the Santini Jr. et al. patents, would have been considered obvious in view of the conventionality of this enhancement.

In view of the new art utilized in the above rejection, this action is not a final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 703-308-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,611

Art Unit: 3763

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manuel Mendez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

MM